J'	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/719,678	FOKKEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph D. Anthony	1714
All Participants:	Status of Application:	 .
(1) <u>Joseph D. Anthony</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ryan W. Cagle</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 March 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.	·	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redidental provides. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
C. C	by the examiner appears in r	artii abuve.
		•
	1	
Ora Automa 3/10/or		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Cagle called the examiner to see if the examiner had considered the supplemental IDS filed 12/21/04. The examiner said it has been considered and the signed an initialed copy of the Form-1449 will be sent to applicant's representative. Please note that 3 of the cited references were not considered by the examiner since they had no English language abstract or translation. The JP 46001863 B4 referecne was also not considered because neither a copy of it nor any English language abstract or translation was filed with the IDS...